



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

THOMAS H. KRAKAUER, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. )  
)  
DISH NETWORK L.L.C., )  
)  
Defendant. )

1:14-CV-333

**VERDICT SHEET**

1. Was SSN acting as Dish's agent when it made the telephone calls at issue from May 11, 2010, through August 1, 2011?

☒ YES

☐ NO

*If Yes, continue to Question 2. If No, skip all other questions and sign the verdict sheet.*

2. Did SSN make and class members receive at least two telephone solicitations to a residential number in any 12-month period by or on behalf of Dish, when their telephone numbers were listed on the National Do Not Call Registry?

☒ YES as to Dr. Krakauer and all class members

*If Yes, continue to Question 3 and skip the following questions.*

☐ YES as to Dr. Krakauer and all class members except the following, whose numbers plaintiff has not proven were residential:

☐ Telephone numbers that LexisNexis always identifies as "unknown"

☐ Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as residential before May 11, 2010 or after August 1, 2011

- ☐ Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as "unknown" in the May 2010 to August 2011 time period that the calls were made but identifies differently at other times
- ☐ Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as both residential and "unknown"
- ☐ Telephone numbers that LexisNexis always identifies as residential, including in the May 2010 to August 2011 time period that the calls were made
- ☐ Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as cellular and possibly cellular
- ☐ NO

*If you answer Yes in whole or in part, also answer Question 3. If you answer No to Question 2, skip Question 3 and sign the verdict sheet.*

3. What amount, up to \$500, do you award for each call made in violation of the TCPA?

\$ 400.00

Robert Jackson  
Foreperson

01/19/2017  
Date